

PIN:			Project Location:				
Context:		○ Urban / Village ○ Suburban ○ Rural					
Project Title:							
STEP 1	I- APPL	ICABILITY OF CHECK	KLIST				
1.1	Is the project located entirely on a facility where bicyclists and pedestrians are prohibited by law and the project does not involve a shared use path or pedestrian/bicycle structure? If <b>no</b> , continue to question 1.2. If <b>yes</b> , <u>stop here</u> .			⊜Yes ⊜No			
1.2	a. Is this project a 1R* Maintenance project? If <b>no</b> , continue to question 1.3. If <b>yes</b> , go to part b of this question.			⊜ Yes ⊜ No			
1.2	pe  Doc  Refer	there opportunities of destrians with the follow Sidewalk curb ramps of Shoulder condition an Pavement markings Signing cument opportunities or to Highway Design Manual of m" under ADA, Pavement Mar	ving Complete Street f and crosswalks ad width r deficiencies in the IPI (HDM) Chapter 7, Exhibit 7	eatures? P and <u>stop here.</u> 7-1 "Resurfacing ADA a	, and the second	∩ Yes ∩ No	
1.3	Is this project a Cyclical Pavement Marking project? If no, continue to question 1.4. If yes, review El 13-021* and identify opportunities to improve safety for bicyclists and pedestrians with the following Complete Streets features:  • Travel lane width • Shoulder width • Markings for pedestrians and bicyclists  Document opportunities or deficiencies in the IPP and stop here.  * El 13-021, "Requirements and Guidance for Pavement Marking Operations - Required Installation of CARDS and Travel Lane and Shoulder Width Adjustments".		○Yes ○No				
1.4	Is this a Maintenance project (as described in the "Definitions" section of this checklist) and different from 1.2 and 1.3 projects? If <b>no</b> , continue to Step 2. If <b>yes</b> , the Project Development Team should continue to look for opportunities during the Design Approval process to improve existing bicycle and pedestrian facilities within the scope of project. Identify the project type in the space below and <b>stop here</b> .		⊜Yes ⊜ No				
STEP 1 prepared by:							
STEP 2	2 - IPP L	EVEL QUESTIONS (A	t Initiation)		Comment / Action		

2.1	Are there public policies or approved known development plans (e.g., community Complete Streets policy, Comprehensive Plan, MPO Long Range and/or Bike/Ped plan, Corridor Study, etc.) that call for consideration of pedestrian, bicycle or transit facilities in, or linking to, the project area? Contact municipal planning office, Regional Planning Group and Regional Bicycle/Pedestrian Coordinator.	○ Yes ○ No	
2.2	Is there an existing or planned sidewalk, shared use path, bicycle facility, pedestrian-crossing facility or transit stop in the project area?	○ Yes ○ No	
2.3	<ul> <li>a. Is the highway part of an existing or planned State, regional or local bicycle route? If no, proceed to question 2.4. If yes, go to part b of this question.</li> <li>b. Do the existing bicycle accommodations meet the minimum standard guidelines of HDM Chapter 17 or the AASHTO "Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities"? * Contact Regional Bicycle/Pedestrian Coordinator</li> <li>* Per HDM Chapter 17- Section 17.4.3, Minimum Standards and Guidelines.</li> </ul>	○ Yes ○ No	
2.4	Is the highway considered important to bicycle tourism by the municipality or region?	○ Yes ○ No	
2.5	Is the highway affected by special events (e.g., fairs, triathlons, festivals) that might influence bicycle, pedestrian or transit users? Contact Regional Traffic and Safety	○ Yes ○ No	
2.6	Are there existing or proposed generators within the project area (refer to the "Guidance" section) that have the potential to generate pedestrian or bicycle traffic or improved transit accommodations? Contact the municipal planning office, Regional Planning Group, and refer to the CAMCI Viewer, described in the "Definitions" section.	○ Yes ○ No	
2.7	Is the highway an undivided 4 lane section in an urban or suburban setting, with narrow shoulders, no center turn lanes, and existing Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) < 15,000 vehicles per day? If <b>yes</b> , consider a road diet evaluation for the scoping/design phase. Refer to the "Definitions" section for more information on road diets.	○ Yes ○ No	

Is there evidence of pedestrian activity (e.g., a worn path) and no or limited pedestrian infrastructure?		○ Yes ○ No			
STEP 2 prepared by:  Bicycle/Pedestrian Coordinator has been provided an opportunity to comment: Yes No  ATTACH TO IPP AND INCLUDE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SCOPING/DESIGN.					

	3 - PROJECT DEVELOPMENT LEVEL QUESTIONS ing/Design Stage)		Comment / Action
3.1	Is there an identified need for bicycle/pedestrian/ transit or "way finding" signs that could be incorporated into the project?	⊜ Yes ⊜ No	
3.2	Is there history of bicycle or pedestrian crashes in the project area for which improvements have not yet been made?	○ Yes ○ No	
3.3	Are there existing curb ramps, crosswalks, pedestrian traffic signal features, or sidewalks that don't meet ADA standards per HDM Chapter 18?	○ Yes ○ No	
3.4	Is the posted speed limit is 40 mph or more and the paved shoulder width less than 4' (1.2 m) (6' in the Adirondack or other State Park)? Refer to El 13-021.	○ Yes ○ No	
3.5	Is there a perceived pedestrian safety or access concern that could be addressed by the use of traffic calming tools (e.g., bulb outs, raised pedestrian refuge medians, corner islands, raised crosswalks, mid-block crossings)?	⊜ Yes ⊜ No	
3.6	Are there conflicts among vehicles (moving or parked) and bike, pedestrian or transit users which could be addressed by the project?	○ Yes ○ No	
3.7	Are there opportunities (or has the community expressed a desire) for new/improved pedestrian-level lighting, to create a more inviting or safer environment?	○ Yes ○ No	
3.8	Does the community have an existing street furniture program or a desire for street appurtenances (e.g., bike racks, benches)?	○ Yes ○ No	

3.9	Are there gaps in the bike/pedestrian connections between existing/planned generators? Consider locations within and in close proximity of the project area. (Within 0.5 mi (800 m) for pedestrian facilities and within 1.0 mi (1600 m) for bicycle facilities.)	○ Yes ○ No	
3.10	Are existing transit route facilities (bus stops, shelters, pullouts) inadequate or in inconvenient locations? (e.g., not near crosswalks) Consult with Traffic and Safety and transit operator, as appropriate	⊜ Yes ⊜ No	
3.11	Are there opportunities to improve vehicle parking patterns or to consolidate driveways, (which would benefit transit, pedestrians and bicyclists) as part of this project?	○ Yes ○ No	
3.12	Is the project on a "local delivery" route and/or do area businesses rely upon truck deliveries that need to be considered in design?	⊜ Yes ⊜ No	
3.13	Are there opportunities to include green infrastructure which may help reduce stormwater runoff and/or create a more inviting pedestrian environment?	○ Yes ○ No	
3.14	Are there opportunities to improve bicyclist operation through intersections and interchanges such as with the use of bicycle lane width and/or signing?	○ Yes ○ No	
STEP 3 prepared by:			
Additional comments, supporting documentation and clarifications for answers in step 1, 2 or 3:			